metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

David J. Bray,^{a,b} Li-Ling Liao,^{b,c} Leonard F. Lindoy,^b John C. McMurtrie^b* and Gang Wei^{a,b}

^aCSIRO, Industrial Physics, Bradfield Rd, West Linfield, New South Wales 2070, Australia, ^bCentre for Heavy Metals Research, School of Chemistry, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia, and ^cSchool of Physics and Chemistry, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang, Guizhou, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: j.mcmurtrie@chem.usyd.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.028 wR factor = 0.075 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

catena-Poly[[[dichlorocopper(II)]-μ-1,3,5tris(2-pyridyloxymethyl)benzene] methanol solvate]

In the title compound, $\{[CuCl_2(tpomb)]\cdot CH_3OH\}_n$ [where tpomb = 1,3,5-tris(2-pyridyloxymethyl)benzene, $C_{24}H_{21}N_3O_3$], two pyridyloxymethyl pendant arms of the tpomb ligand bridge Cu^{II} centres *via* Cu-N bonds to form one-dimensional coordination polymer chains.

Received 26 May 2004 Accepted 3 June 2004 Online 12 June 2004

Comment

We have been investigating the molecular and polymeric structures of metal complexes with tripodal ligands and, in particular, those that incorporate pyridyl donors. The structure reported here, (I), was obtained during those investigations.



In (I), two pyridyloxymethyl pendant arms of 1,3,5-tris(2pyridyloxymethyl)benzene (tpomb) bridge Cu^{II} atoms *via* Cu–N bonds, forming a one-dimensional coordination polymer chain. The remaining pyridyloxymethyl pendant arm does not coordinate to a metal centre but is instead involved in an offset face-to-face aryl-aryl interaction with a coordinated pyridyl ring in an adjacent chain.

The coordination sphere of each Cu^{II} atom comprises two pyridyl donors (from two different ligands in the polymer chain), as well as two chloride ligands. The resulting Cu coordination stereochemistry is intermediate between tetrahedral and square planar (see Fig. 1 for a graphical illustration and Table 1 for pertinent bond lengths and angles). There are two weaker Cu···O contacts that, at 2.6445 (11) (Cu1···O1) and 2.8146 (11) Å [Cu1···O3ⁱ; symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$], are longer than typical Cu–O coordinate bonds. While possibly not true coordinate bonds, these Cu to O contacts are almost certainly significant in the observed configurational organization of the pyridyloxymethyl pendant arms around the Cu^{II} atoms.

The conformation of the tpomb ligand is not only influenced by the coordination geometry of the pyridyloxymethyl groups





The asymmetric unit of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The dotted line represents the Cu1···O1 contact and the dashed line represents the CH₃OH to Cl hydrogen bond. The insert shows the complete coordination sphere of the Cu^{II} centre. [Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$.]

around the Cu^{II} centres but also by an intrachain offset faceto-face aryl-aryl interaction between the central benzene ring and a pyridyl arm from an adjacent ligand, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The methanol solvent molecule is involved in a hydrogen bond to one of the chloride ligands (geometrical details of which are provided in Table 2).

Experimental

For the preparation of 1,3,5-tris(2-pyridyloxymethyl)benzene (tpomb), a mixture of 1,3,5-tris(hydroxymethyl)benzene (0.75 g, 4.5 mmol), 2-bromopyridine (2.21 g, 14 mmol) and potassium hydroxide (2.5 g, 45 mmol) was refluxed in toluene (30 ml) for 48 h. The solvent was then removed under vacuum and the residue partitioned between chloroform (50 ml) and water (50 ml). The organic layer was separated, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ before removing the solvent under vacuum. The solid residue was then triturated with cold methanol to give tpomb as a pale-yellow powder (0.5 g, 30%) (m.p. 339-340 K). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K): δ 5.41 (s, CH₂, 6H), 6.7–8–6.91 (m, pyridine, 6H), 7.51 (s, C₆H₃, 3H), 7.54–7.63 (m, pyridine, 3H), 8.18 (d, pyridine, 3H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K): δ 67.28 (CH₂), 111.36, 116.95, 126.91, 138.63, 146.85 (aromatic), 137.91, 163.58 (quarternary aromatic). MS (ES) $m/z = 400.0 (M + H)^+$. Found (%): C 71.65, H 5.31, N 10.28; C₂₄H₂₁N₃O₃·0.25CH₄O requires: C 71.47, H 5.45. N 10.32.

CuCl₂·2H₂O (0.060 g, 0.35 mmol) in ethanol (6 ml) was added to a solution of tpomb (0.15 g, 0.37 mmol) in ethanol (12 ml) to give a finely divided blue precipitate with empirical formula $[Cu(tpomb)Cl_2]$ ·0.5H₂O (0.10 g, 50%). Found (%): C 53.00, H 4.07, N 7.62; C₂₄H₂₁CuCl₂N₃O₃.0.5H₂O requires: C 53.10, H 4.08, N 7.74. Small pale-green crystals of the title compound were obtained by recrystallization of the above fine blue solid from a methanol solution.



Figure 2

The coordination polymer chains propagate parallel to the *a* axis (C grey, Cu green, Cl orange, N blue and O red; H atoms omitted). A section of one such chain is illustrated above. The conformation of the tpomb ligand is determined by the coordinate bonding of the pyridyloxymethyl pendant arms as well as by intrachain Offset Face-to–Face (OFF) interaction.

Crystal data

$[CuCl_2(C_{24}H_{21}N_3O_3)]\cdot CH_4O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 565.92$	Cell parameters from 16 661
Orthorhombic, Pbca	reflections
a = 12.7397 (8) Å	$\theta = 2.2 - 28.2^{\circ}$
b = 15.6191(10) Å	$\mu = 1.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 25.0173 (15) Å	T = 150 (2) K
$V = 4978.0(5) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, pale-green
Z = 8	$0.35 \times 0.34 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.510 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	
Siemens SMART 1000 CCD	6076 independent reflections
diffractometer	5070 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.4^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1999)	$h = -16 \rightarrow 17$

(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1999) $T_{min} = 0.717, T_{max} = 0.844$

46 409 measured reflections

 $k = -20 \rightarrow 20$

metal-organic papers

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$ $wR(F^2) = 0.075$ S = 1.03 6076 reflections 320 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained	$w = \frac{1}{[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0401P)^{2} + 2.1134P]}$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.003$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-N1	1.9782 (12)	Cu1-Cl1	2.2782 (4)
Cu1-N3 ⁱ	1.9888 (12)	Cu1-O1	2.6445 (11)
Cu1-Cl2	2.2352 (4)	Cu1-O3 ⁱ	2.8146 (11)
$N1-Cu1-N3^{i}$	147.39 (5)	Cl2-Cu1-O1	130.62 (3)
N1-Cu1-Cl2	96.48 (4)	Cl1-Cu1-O1	92.73 (3)
N3 ⁱ -Cu1-Cl2	98.47 (4)	N1-Cu1-O3 ⁱ	102.71 (4)
N1-Cu1-Cl1	93.40 (4)	$N3^i$ -Cu1-O3 ⁱ	51.95 (4)
N3 ⁱ -Cu1-Cl1	97.78 (4)	Cl2-Cu1-O3 ⁱ	80.79 (3)
Cl2-Cu1-Cl1	131.983 (17)	Cl1-Cu1-O3 ⁱ	141.76 (3)
N1-Cu1-O1	54.92 (4)	O1-Cu1-O3 ⁱ	70.05 (3)
$N3^{i}$ -Cu1-O1	93.87 (4)		

Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$.

Table 2

		0	
TT 1 1 1'			`
Hydrogen_bonding	geometry (Δ \vee	1
11vul02011-0011ull12	200mcuv	<i>n</i> .	1.
	0	< <i>2</i>	, ·

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1m - H1m \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1$	0.793 (9)	2.463 (13)	3.2161 (16)	159 (2)

C-bound H atoms were included in idealized positions and refined using a riding-model approximation with methylene, methyl and aromatic bond lengths fixed at 0.99, 0.98 and 0.95 Å, respectively. $U_{\rm iso}(H)$ values were fixed at $1.2U_{\rm eq}$ of the parent C atoms for methylene and aromatic H atoms, and at $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ of the parent C atoms for methyl H atoms. The hydroxy H atom was located in a Fourier difference map and refined with the following restraints: O-H =0.8 (1) Å, $C \cdots H = 1.95 (2)$ Å and $U_{\rm iso}$ fixed at $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ of the parent O atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: *SAINT* and *XPREP* (Siemens, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*97 (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *enCIFer* (Version 1.0; Allen *et al.*, 2004) and *WinGX*-32 (Farrugia, 1999).

We gratefully acknowledge the Australian Research Council for support.

References

Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giocavazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, S. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART, SAINT and XPREP. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.